Modern view of the nearest vicinity of UXORs based on modeling their emission spectra

L.V. Tambovtseva and V.P. Grinin

Pulkovo Astronomical observatory, Saint-Petersburg, RUSSIA

- Specific features of modeling emission spectra in UXORs
- Additional reasons for the line profiles variability in comparison to other Herbig stars
- Modeling the hydrogen emission spectra
- The Br γ problem. Spectroscopic and interferometric Br γ modeling in VV Ser
- Conclusion



V, km/s



CQ Tau

-500

Hα

Hß

0

500

1000

a

Table 3. New values of the stellar parameters.

Name	$T_{\rm eff}$	$\log g$	$V_{ m H}$	$v \sin i$	-
	(K)		$({\rm kms^{-1}})$	$({\rm kms^{-1}})$	Mora + (2001)
UX Ori	9500	4.0	+18	140	- 215
CQ Tau	7000	3.5	+20	90	215
BF Ori	8750	4.0	+18	40	37
RR Tau	9750	3.5	+11	140	
WW Vul	8500	3.5	-12	150	210

V. km/s

compactness of MA:

Grinin & Tambovtseva 2011 Garcia Lopez + 2015, 2016 Caratti o Garatti + 2015

Cauley & Johns-Krull (2014) (He I 10830)



V. P. Grinin et al.: UXORs-spectra (2001)

UX Ori



Natta et al. 2000 (accretion events in UX Ori in details)

UX Ori type stars (UXORs)



UX Ori type stars (UXORs)



Obscuration of the

- densest,
- rapidly rotating,
- low radial velocity disk wind regions;
- 2. Asymmetry of the line profiles;
- 3. Strong emission lines variability.
- 4. Noticeable contribution of the light scattered by dust to the line radiation



- 1. Obscuration of the
 - densest,
 - rapidly rotating,
 - low radial velocity disk wind regions;
 - 2. Asymmetry of the line profiles;
 - 3. Strong emission lines variability.
 - 4. Noticeable contribution of the light scattered by dust to the line radiation

dusty disk wind or gas and dust clo

- These stars need a photometric monitoring (an informational source for cyclic activity, revealing protoplanets, emission lines modeling, etc.
- 2. Spectra modeling can give reliable information about a usual state of the star if it will be observed at the normal (bright) state (out of eclipse).
- 3. When modeling the line profile with strong accretion features, one can distinguish between the event: obscuration by the gas and dust cloud or a fall of the large portion of the matter onto the star.
- 4. One has to take into account a presence of the dust (scattered light)

Previous modeling:

Tambovtseva et al. 1999, 2001

2008, 2019

Muzerolle et al. 2004

Mendigutia et al. 2011

UX Ori (Vrot=70km/s) BF Ori (Vrot=40 km/s)

UX Ori, RR Tau, CQ Tau,

WW Vul, BF Ori

flat-like magnetosphere

- + classical magnetosphere
- + mcf disk wind
- + polar wind
- + scattered light

classical magnetosphere

Emitting regions

Stars

+ VV Ser



Flat-like magnetosphere rotating gas, free-fall motion (HAEBEs) $v(r)\frac{dv}{dr} = -\frac{GM}{r^2} + \frac{u^2(r)}{r}$ $\dot{M} = 2\pi r h v \rho(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r})$ $T(r) = T_0 (r/R_{\iota})^{-\alpha}$ α : 1/2 ÷ 1/3

Disk accretion + gaseous disk



Flat-like magnetosphere rotating gas, free-fall motion (HAEBEs) $v(r)\frac{dv}{dr} = -\frac{GM}{r^2} + \frac{u^2(r)}{r}$ $\dot{M} = 2\pi r hv\rho(r)v(r)$ $T(r) = T_0 (r/R_i)^{-\alpha}$ α : 1/2 ÷ 1/3 Obs. ωn





Blandford & Payne 1982, Pudritz & Norman 1986, Königl & Pudritz 2000, Ferreira 2007, 2013

$$\frac{\dot{M}_{w}}{\dot{M}_{acc}} = 0.1$$

Intensity of the radiation:

$$J_{ik} = (1 - \langle \beta_{ik} \rangle) S_{ik} + J_{ik}^{\star} W \beta_{ik}^{\star}$$

Source function:

$$S(r) = \frac{2hv^3}{c^2} \left(\frac{n_k(r)}{n_i(r)} \frac{g_i}{g_k} - 1\right)^{-1}$$

Mean escape probability of the quantum in the line *ik* from the given point of the medium:

$$<\beta_{ik}(l,\theta)> = \int \beta_{ik}(r,l,\mathbf{s}) \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi},$$

$$\beta_{ik}(l,\theta,\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1 - e^{-\tau_{ik}}}{\tau_{ik}}$$

$$I_w(\nu) = \int_A I_w(\nu, x, y) dx \, dy$$

$$I_w(\nu, x, y) = \int_{-\infty}^{z_{max}} S(\mathbf{r})\phi(\nu - \nu_0 \frac{\mathbf{v}_z(\mathbf{r})}{c}) e^{-\tau(\nu, \mathbf{r})} \kappa(\mathbf{r}) dz$$

 z_{min}

The integral is taken over all solid angles $\Omega\left(\boldsymbol{\ell},\boldsymbol{\theta}\right)$

The effective optical depth of the emitting region at the point with co-ordinates (l, θ) :

$$\tau_{ik}(l,\theta,\mathbf{s}) = \kappa_{ik}(l,\theta) \, v_{\mathbf{s}} |dv_{\mathbf{s}}/ds|^{-1}$$

Grinin & Tambovtseva 2011



-400 0 400 800 VELOCITY, km/s

0.0

-800











Appenzeller et al. 2005, Grinin et al. 2012



Tambovtseva, Kreplin, Grinin, Weigelt



ADEC [R *]

Maps of the disk wind and polar wind seen at 70°



Maps of the disk wind seen at 70° and light scattered by the dust located along the cavity walls at 30° $\,$

SUMMARY

- Magnetospheres of UXORs are compact; emission from the disk wind region substantially contributes to the line emission. Estimates of M_{acc} only with magnetospheric accretion may be overstated
- The mass accretion rate from hydrogen emission line profiles is in the range $10^{-8} 10^{-7} M_{SUN} yr^{-1}$
- Spectroscopic observations has to be accompanied with photometric observations
- Modeling the emission spectra in UXORs can give important information not only about the gas distribution and motion in the nearest vicinity of stars but also information about a state, distribution and evolution of the dust in their protoplanetary disks

Hot polar wind in young stars = accretion driven wind

Matt & Pudritz (2007), Cranmer (2008)

Theoretical prediction



An accretion driven wind from the polar regions of TTSs.

Physical mechanism:

A convection – driven MHD turbulence (a solar coronal heating) + another source of the wave energy that is driven by the impact of plasma in neighboring flux tubes undergoing magnetospheric accretion

Result: Rapid heating the wind $(T \sim 10^{6} K)$

Models with T = (10 000 - 15 000) K and the mass loss rate $10^{-9} M_{SUN}$ /yr (~ 0.01 of an accretion rate) are suitable for emission lines formation

blue: theory

red: WW Vul Observations

